


CLERK OF U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

MAR 18 2013

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY:  DEPUTY CLERKUnited States of America
v.
JARED JAMILE FITZGERALDDate of Previous Judgment: 02/25/2011
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Case No: 4:10CR00006-1

USM No: 14532-084

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____ Amended Offense Level: _____
Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____
Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

This issue was addressed (on Defendant's prior Motion) by Order dated October 18, 2011 [ECF No. 114], and is DENIED for the reasons stated therein. Defendant's request for the appointment of counsel [ECF No. 124] is DENIED.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 02/25/2011 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 03/18/2013

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Judge's signature
Senior United States District Judge
Printed name and title